

**USDA/EPA Chesapeake Bay Models Meeting  
Annapolis, Maryland  
Friday, April 9, 2010**

Identified During the Meeting

- Integration of CEAP-Chesapeake Bay findings into the existing suite of Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) partnership-approved conservation practices through the partnership's protocol addressing either new practices or reassessing and updating the efficiencies of existing practices.
- Increase the NASS Farmer Survey through the time for the Chesapeake Bay watershed through direct communication of strong interest of the CBP partnership and the potential to contribute to the funding source into the future.
- Share NRCS's definition of their "no practices" scenario with EPA CBPO for comparison with the CBP partnership's "1985 No Action" scenario.
- Share NRCS's definition of "background" with EPA CBPO for comparison with the CBP partnership's "All Forested Watershed" scenario.
- Share NRCS's "all possible practices in place" scenario for the Chesapeake Bay watershed for comparison with the CBP partnership's "E3" scenario results.
- 
- Share a pre-release version of the CEAP Chesapeake Bay report with EPA CBPO.
- Further explore the opportunity for incorporating the SWAT model into the Phase 5.3 watershed model in place of the existing agricultural lands simulation modules.
- Set up a comparison of CEAP's target levels with the CBP's anticipated Watershed Implementation Plans' (WIPs) expectations from producers. [Draft Watershed Implementation Plans due from the seven watershed jurisdictions this September.]
- Need to continue to cross compare the CEAP findings with NRCS's priority watersheds.
- 
- Work to get Chesapeake Bay Program partnership's collaborative efforts build into NRCS's CEAP "Roadmap" plan.
- Set up opportunities to hear more about lessons on monitoring design and station siting from CEAP watershed studies; work to translate into continued expansion of the Chesapeake Bay watershed monitoring network.